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ABSTRACT

Background and Aim: Menopause marks a time point to follow the permanent stoppage of menstruation. Menopausal transition includes various autonomic dysfunctions. Age is known to affect autonomic function. Therefore, in the present study the effect of menopause on autonomic function has been assessed by comparing the heart rate variability parameters between early postmenopausal and late premenopausal women. Methods: Premenopausal and postmenopausal women of age group between 40-55 years were recruited and short term HRV was measured using BIOPAC and analyzed with kubios software. Results: In postmenopausal women, HFnu was significantly reduced. LFnu, LF: HF ratio were significantly increased and among the time domain parameters, RMSSD was significantly reduced in postmenopausal women. Conclusion: Sympathovagal imbalance in the form of increased sympathetic and decreased parasympathetic activity was found in early postmenopausal women. There was considerable decrease in vagal indices in postmenopausal women which is known to increase cardiovascular risks.
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INTRODUCTION

Menopause is a Greek word meaning, 'meno'-month, 'pause'-ceases.[6] It is a gradual transition from the reproductive to the post-reproductive stage of women’s life.[7] Menopause marks to a point in time that follows after the permanent stoppage of menstruation.[8] According to the third consensus meeting of Indian Menopause Society (2008), India has about 43 million women in postmenopausal age group. Menopausal transition includes autonomic dysfunctions like somatic symptoms, vasomotor symptoms, sexual dysfunctions, urological symptoms, psychological and mental disturbances such as mood changes, insomnia, depression, irritability, forgetfulness, vasomotor symptoms, sexual dysfunctions, urological symptoms, psychological and mental disturbances such as mood changes, insomnia, depression, irritability, forgetfulness and lack of concentration that decreases the quality of life.[9] These climacteric vasomotor symptoms are due to alteration of autonomic hemodynamic regulation like various cardiovascular reflexes and dysregulation of cutaneous blood flow.[10] Power spectral analysis of Heart Rate Variability (HRV)[11] has been documented as sensitive measures of cardiovascular autonomic regulation.

Previous study demonstrated reduced heart rate variability in postmenopausal women compared to young women.[7] There was also reports of reduced parasympathetic and increased sympathetic activities in postmenopausal women when compared to premenopausal women.[9] As hypertension,[12] diabetes mellitus[11] and high Body Mass Index (BMI) have been reported to influence heart rate variability, in the present study, we have excluded hypertensives, diabetic patients and both the groups were BMI matched. Though menopausal status is associated with autonomic changes, there are very few studies on the detailed autonomic functions in non-hypertensives, non-diabetics postmenopausal women. Age is known to influence heart rate variability.[13] Therefore, we aimed to study the heart rate variability in early postmenopausal and late premenopausal women of 40-55 years and compared the parameters between them.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This is a cross sectional study approved by Scientific Advisory Committee and the Ethics Committee for human studies of the institute. A total of 105 women between 40-55 years of age who met the inclusion and exclusion criteria were recruited and were divided into premenopausal group (n=55) and postmenopausal group (n=50). Subjects were recruited from Obstetrics and Gynecology outpatient department of the institute. After getting written informed consent from the participants, the study was conducted in autonomic function testing laboratory, Department of Physiology, JIPMER, Puducherry.

Inclusion Criteria
- Premenopausal Group: Normal healthy women of age group 40-55 years with regular menstrual cycles.
- Postmenopausal Group: Normal healthy women of age group 40-55 years whose menstruation stopped at least one year before.

Exclusion Criteria
Women having hypertension, diabetes, heart disease, any chronic disease or associated factors that may affect the autonomic reflexes, neurological or psychiatric diseases, chronic alcoholics, women receiving hormone replacement therapy and any medication that have been reported to affect autonomic function (like for instance autonomic blockers), perimenopausal women (having irregular menstrual cycles) and women who underwent surgical menopause.

Recording of Anthropometric and Basal Cardiovascular Parameters
The age, height (cm) was measured by stadiometer (BHH6, Easy Care, Mumbai, India), body weight was measured with minimal clothing by digital weighing machine (MS 4900, Charider Electronics Co. Ltd, Taichung, Taiwan) to its nearest value, BMI was calculated by 'Quetelet's index' (BMI= weight (Kg)/height^2 (m)).

After 10 min of rest in supine position, basal heart rate, Systolic Blood Pressure (SBP) and Diastolic Blood Pressure (DBP) were measured by oscillometric method using an automatic (digital) blood pressure monitor (Omron automatic blood pressure monitor HEM-8712). Pulse Pressure (PP) was calculated as the difference between SBP and DBP (SBP-DBP). Mean Arterial Pressure (MAP) was calculated as DBP + 1/3 of PP.[14]

Assessment of Heart Rate Variability
After 10 min of rest in supine position, lead II ECG was recorded for 5-10 min as per the Task force guidelines[13] on HRV. For this purpose, ECG electrodes were connected and lead II ECG was acquired during supine rest using a BIOPAC MP 150 data acquisition system (BIOPAC Inc., Goleta, California, United States). The data were transferred to a personal computer with acquknowledge software version 4.2. RR tachogram was extracted. HRV analysis was done using the HRV analysis software version 2.0 (Bio-signal Analysis Group, Kuopio, Finland).

Measurement of Hormonal Assay
Hormonal assay of Estrogen (CALBIOTECH-USA), Progesterone (CALBIOTECH-USA) and Follicular Stimulating Hormone (FSH) (CALBIOTECH-USA) were measured by commercially available ELISA kits.

Statistical Analysis
Statistical analysis was performed by SPSS version 19 (SPSS; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to test the normality of the data. Continuous variables were expressed as mean ± SD. Between the groups, normally distributed parameters were tested by unpaired student's t test and non-normally distributed parameters were tested by Mann-Whitney U test. P-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS
Hormonal profile shows a significant increase in follicular stimulating hormone level (P<0.00) and a significant decrease in Estrogen (P<0.00) and progesterone (P=0.03) (Table 1) in postmenopausal group compared to premenopausal group.

Anthropometric parameters such as height, body weight and BMI did not show statistically significant difference between premenopausal and postmenopausal women. Age showed a significant increase between the groups (P<0.00). (Table 2) There was a statistically significant difference in SBP (P<0.00), DBP (P=0.02), pulse pressure (P<0.00) and mean arterial pressure (P<0.00) in the postmenopausal group compared to premenopausal group.

On analysis of frequency domain parameters of heart rate variability, HFnu was significantly reduced (P<0.00) in postmenopausal group compared to premenopausal group. On analysis of time domain parameters, RMSSD was significantly less (P<0.00) in postmenopausal group compared to premenopausal group, whereas SDNN (P=0.27), NN50 (P=0.42) and pNN50 (P=0.30) showed no statistical difference between the groups (Table 4).

DISCUSSION
We carried out short term Heart rate variability in women of 40-55 years and compared the parameters between pre- and post-menopausal women, who were non-diabetic, non-hypertensives and BMI matched. To confirm with their menopausal status we have measured hormonal level of Follicular Stimulating Hormone (FSH), Estrogen and progesterone in both the groups. Previous studies show that FSH level higher than 21 mIU/mL can be used as an independent criterion for classifying the natural menopausal status of a women with high accuracy.[15] So these criteria of high FSH level along with amenorrhea for at least 12 months confirms the postmenopausal status in the postmenopausal group.[16]

Table 1: Comparison of hormonal level in premenopausal and postmenopausal group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Premenopausal Group (n=55)</th>
<th>Postmenopausal Group (n=50)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FSH (mIU/ml)</td>
<td>6.65 ± 5.27</td>
<td>37.61 ± 13.68</td>
<td>0.00*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progesterone (ng/ml)</td>
<td>13.03 ± 5.76</td>
<td>10.96 ± 3.81</td>
<td>0.03*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estrogen (pg/mL)</td>
<td>282.01 ± 139.84</td>
<td>83.58 ± 34.09</td>
<td>0.00*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The values are expressed as Mean ± SD; Statistical analysis was done by student unpaired t-test, * by Mann-Whitney U test. The P value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. FSH: Follicular Stimulating Hormone.

Table 2: Comparison of age, anthropometric indices and basal cardiovascular parameters between premenopausal and postmenopausal group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Premenopausal Group (n=55)</th>
<th>Postmenopausal Group (n=50)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)*</td>
<td>42.47 ± 2.76</td>
<td>50.56 ± 3.21</td>
<td>0.00*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>151.70 ± 5.40</td>
<td>151.00 ± 5.32</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (Kg)</td>
<td>62.00 ± 10.32</td>
<td>61.26 ± 12.04</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m^2)</td>
<td>26.92 ± 4.17</td>
<td>26.74 ± 4.56</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHR (per min)</td>
<td>71.67 ± 8.47</td>
<td>75.24 ± 8.51</td>
<td>0.03*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>115.14 ± 9.43</td>
<td>124.17 ± 11.97</td>
<td>0.00*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>65.67 ± 8.29</td>
<td>69.45 ± 9.26</td>
<td>0.02*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP (mmHg)</td>
<td>49.46 ± 7.32</td>
<td>54.71 ± 8.20</td>
<td>0.00*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP (mmHg)</td>
<td>82.16 ± 7.97</td>
<td>87.69 ± 9.49</td>
<td>0.00*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The values are expressed as Mean ± SD; Statistical analysis was done by Student's unpaired t-test, * by Mann-Whitney U test. The P value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.
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Table 3: Comparison of frequency domain indices between premenopausal and postmenopausal group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Premenopausal group (n=55)</th>
<th>Postmenopausal Group (n=50)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total power (ms(^2))</td>
<td>1418.25 ± 612.31</td>
<td>1222.34 ± 574.85</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFnu (ms(^2))</td>
<td>46.20 ± 19.88</td>
<td>54.29 ± 8.09</td>
<td>0.00*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFnu (ms(^2))</td>
<td>53.79 ± 19.88</td>
<td>45.70 ± 8.09</td>
<td>0.00*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF: HF (ms(^2))</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.64</td>
<td>1.25 ± 0.41</td>
<td>0.00*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The values are expressed as Mean± SD; Statistical analysis was done by Student's unpaired t-test. # by Mann-Whitney U test. LFnu: normalised low frequency; HFnu: normalised high frequency; LF: HF: ratio of the low frequency to the high frequency.

Table 4: Comparison of time domain indices between premenopausal and postmenopausal group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Premenopausal group (n=55)</th>
<th>Postmenopausal Group (n=50)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SDNN (ms)</td>
<td>41.09 ± 20.27</td>
<td>37.17 ± 15.65</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSSD (ms)</td>
<td>38.22 ± 21.61</td>
<td>29.33 ± 20.40</td>
<td>0.00*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NN50 (count)</td>
<td>35.92 ± 27.23</td>
<td>27.30 ± 17.46</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pNN50 (%)</td>
<td>10.35 ± 5.19</td>
<td>9.37 ± 4.57</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The values are expressed as Mean± SD; Statistical analysis was done by Student's unpaired t-test. # by Mann-Whitney U test. SDNN: standard deviation of the averages of the NN intervals in all 5 min segments; RMSSD: Square root of the mean squared differences of successive normal to normal intervals; NN50: number of interval differences of successive NN intervals greater than 50ms; pNN50: Proportion derived by dividing NN50 by the total number of NN intervals.

Though the recruitment age range was narrow to minimize the effect of age on autonomic function, age difference between the two groups was statistically significant. However, the mean age difference of 8 years might not have influenced autonomic functions, as it has been reported that autonomic function does not vary over the age range of 40-60 years.[13]

Resting heart rate is mainly determined by the vagal action over the SA node.[17] In the present study, the resting heart rate was significantly accentuated in postmenopausal women compared to the premenopausal women, indicating decreased vagal tone in postmenopausal women. Our results are consistent with the study by Brock bank et al.[18] and Danuta C et al.[19] The decrease in vagal tone is further confirmed by reduction in HRV parameters in postmenopausal women in the form of decreased HFnu and RMSSD, as HFnu and RMSSD are parasympathetic markers and specially RMSSD is one of the most sensitive parameters of parasympathetic tone in short term recording of heart rate variability.[11]

In a study by Neufeld et al.[20] RMSSD was significantly higher in postmenopausal women, which could be due to difference in BMI or regular physical activity in their subjects (which is not mentioned), as physically active postmenopausal women are reported to have higher vagal tone compared to sedentary and less active postmenopausal women.[21] Our result is similar to reports of Neves VF and Ribeiro TF[12,22] where significant decrease in RMSSD was observed in postmenopausal women. Thus, there was vagal withdrawal after menopause.

The resting cardiovascular parameters such as SBP, DBP, PP and MAP were significantly increased in postmenopausal women suggesting increased sympathetic tone in them as these parameters are indicators of sympathetic activity. Another study also showed similar results in blood pressure changes.[23] However, in this study, the premenopausal women were much younger compared to the postmenopausal group (33.83yrs Vs 51.03yrs) and there was significant difference in BMI (21.28 Vs 24.73), which could have contributed to the difference in BP.

In the present study, LF: HF ratio was significantly raised in the postmenopausal group which could be due to increased sympathetic and decreased parasympathetic activity. Further increase in sympathetic activity in the postmenopausal group was demonstrated by more LFnu, which reflects absolute power of sympathetic drive over heart. Decreased parasympathetic activity was also confirmed by decreased HFnu in the same group, as HFnu represents the absolute power of parasympathetic activity over the heart.[23] Total power in heart rate variability, measured as the variance of RR interval through the entire recording represents the vagal influence over heart.[23] In our study there was a decrease in total power among the postmenopausal women compared to premenopausal controls but it was not found to be statistically significant which could be due to rise in LF power in postmenopausal women. These findings were in conformity with the earlier study which showed similar results in postmenopausal group without estrogen therapy when compared to premenopausal group.[22]

So, our study demonstrated a shift of autonomic functions in postmenopausal women, who tend to move towards sympathetic over activity and vagal withdrawal compared to premenopausal women. Further in this study, there was considerable decrease in vagal indices in postmenopausal women which is known to increase the risk of cardiovascular disease. The novelty of the study is that we have compared the effect of menopause on heart rate variability within a narrow age range of 40-55 years, so that the effect of age per se on heart rate variability is minimized.

Limitations of the Study

Though 24 hr heart rate variability measurement gives a detailed HRV values, we have performed 5 min HRV recording. Our sample size is not large and we have not assessed plasma cortisol level for sympathetic function.

CONCLUSION

Sympathovagal imbalance in the form of increased sympathetic and decreased parasympathetic activity was found in early postmenopausal women. Nevertheless, there was considerable decrease in vagal indices in postmenopausal women which is known to increase cardiovascular risks.
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ABBREVIATIONS

HRV: Heart Rate Variability; BMI: Body Mass Index; BHR: Basal Heart Rate; SBP: Systolic Blood Pressure; DBP: Diastolic Blood Pressure; PP: Pulse Pressure; MAP: Mean Arterial Pressure; LFnu: Normalised Low Frequency; HFnu: Normalised High Frequency; LF, HF: Ratio of the low frequency to the high frequency; SDNN: Standard deviation of the averages of the NN intervals in all 5 min segments; RMSSD: Square root of the mean squared differences of successive normal to normal intervals;
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